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Abstract
As the Internet continues to grow, the tasks of operations and management of IP networks and systems are becoming more difficult. Over the past few years, much effort has been given to improve the deficiencies of SNMP but most have failed to be standardized. One critical deficiency with SNMP is in the area of configuration management. Recent work focuses on the use of XML technology to network and service management as an alternative or complementary approach to SNMP. This paper presents IETF’s latest effort called Netconf, which is viewed by many as a promising, revolutionary solution for configuration management. To validate this new effort, we present the design and implementation of an XML-based configuration management system (XCMS) based on Netconf. We also discuss our experience with XCMS and make some suggestions for improving the current Netconf protocol.

1. Introduction
The rapid pace of Internet evolution is currently witnessing the emergence of diverse network devices. IP networks are becoming larger and more complex as more users are using the Internet and as more enterprises are relying on the Internet for their businesses. Efficient management techniques and tools are necessary to manage these networks. The Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) has been the most widely used method for network management on the Internet since it was introduced in the late 1980s. However, SNMP has been used mostly in monitoring for fault and performance management, but was hardly used for configuration management due to its limitations [1].

To overcome the shortcomings of SNMP, Extensible Markup Language (XML) technologies, such as the XML Schema, XML Path Language (XPath), Extensible Style-sheet Language (XSL), SOAP, Web Services Description Language (WSDL), etc. are currently being applied to configuration management [2]. The IETF’s standardization process of configuration management for network devices using XML technologies is also in progress by the Network configuration (Netconf) working group (WG) [3].

Configuration data such as interface settings and routing tables requires a hierarchical and structured information model. SNMP defines management information using Structure of Management Information (SMI) whose data modeling capability is limited to simple arrays of scalar data types. The SNMP SMI is insufficient to represent configuration data because it supports neither a hierarchical nor structured information model. Netconf uses XML Schema or DTD instead of SNMP SMI [3]. The hierarchical
representation of XML is better mated for data in the network element than SMI’s relational model. The object identifier (OID), which is a naming mechanism of SNMP is too simple and verbose that it causes problems with usage and implementation. Hence Netconf is considering the use of a subset of the XPath language to specify the target of management operations. The explicit and unique naming method of XPath is more efficient and powerful than the simple OID in SNMP [3].

Configuration tasks require several high-level management operations such as download, activation, rollback and restoration. The SNMP Set operation can be used for realizing such operations as “side-effects” but it makes management applications very complicated. Therefore, SNMP is difficult to support various operations such as to load/restore configuration, to activate a new configuration at a specific time and to rollback a configuration [3]. Netconf uses a remote procedure call (RPC) paradigm to define a formal API for the network device. Also, Netconf defines these various management operations invoked as RPC methods with XML-encoded parameters.

SNMP over UDP is the preferred transport for IPv4 devices; however, it cannot support bulk data retrievals in a reliable manner. The SNMP over UDP merely supports the transport of SNMP messages of a size up to 484 bytes, which is insufficient for bulk configuration data transfers. Also, SNMP retransmits important data at the application level because of unreliability in UDP. To overcome the weaknesses of SNMP, evolutionary approaches have been attempted for the past few years, but all have failed to be adopted as standards [1]. Netconf is connection-oriented, requiring a persistent connection between the manager and agent. This connection provides a reliable and sequential data delivery.

A Command Line Interface (CLI) has been widely used as an alternative to SNMP for configuration management. The CLI also has some drawbacks in the lack of common data model, proprietary interface and insufficient access control. These drawbacks prohibit the CLI from being used to configure multiple devices in a heterogeneous environment. Netconf does not yet provide a solution for the common data model and the access control. However, it provides a solution for the standard interface to guarantee interoperability in managing devices in a multi-vendor environment.

Major network device vendors, such as Cisco and Juniper Networks, already integrate their own XML-based agent in their products and actively participate in the Netconf standardization work. Netconf announced Internet Drafts (I-D); Netconf configuration protocol and transport bindings. Until now, little implementation work has been done using Netconf. For the successful deployment of a standard management protocol, we need to demonstrate the feasibility and effectiveness of the new protocol to the operators and administrators. This paper demonstrates this by designing and implementing an XML-based configuration management system based on Netconf. This paper also identifies problems in the current Netconf proposal and suggests possible solutions.

The organization of this paper is as follows. First, we present an overview of Netconf and introduce the XML-based configuration management system (XCMS). XCMS is applied to manage the IP sharing
device\(^1\). Using our implement experience with the IP sharing device, we propose several points for improvement of Netconf. Lastly, we summarize our work and discuss directions for future research.

2. Overview of Netconf

The Netconf WG [3] was formed in May 2003. The Netconf WG attempts to standardize a protocol suitable for the configuration management of network devices. The Netconf WG defines the Netconf protocol and transport mappings. Internet network operators, developers and researchers have participated in the Netconf WG and issued several Internet Drafts (I-D) [4, 5, 6, 7] and individual submissions. In this section, we introduce the Netconf protocol and transport mappings.

The features of Netconf protocol [4] are summarized as follows:

- Netconf uses an RPC paradigm to define a formal API for the network devices. A manager encodes an RPC in XML and sends it to the agent using a secure, connection-oriented session.
- A Netconf session is the logical connection between a network administrator or network configuration application and a network device. A device must support at least one Netconf session, and may support more than one.
- It distinguishes between configuration data and state data. The configuration data is the set of read-write data, and state data is read-only data.
- Netconf is connection-oriented, requiring a persistent connection between the manager and agent. This connection must provide reliable and sequential data delivery.
- It is necessary to distinguish between the distribution of a configuration and the activation of configurations. The configuration is separated into three states: running, candidate, and startup. The ‘running’ is the complete configuration currently active on the network device. The ‘candidate’ is the candidate configuration which can be manipulated without impacting the device’s current configuration. The ‘startup’ is the copied configuration from the ‘running’ state when the running configuration is reliable.

2.1. Netconf Configuration Protocol

The Netconf protocol [4] uses XML for data encoding and a simple RPC-based mechanism to facilitate communication between a manager and agent. The design goals of the Netconf protocol are as follows.

- Improve interoperability among the devices produced by different vendors.
- Provide a transport-neutral protocol based on TCP.
- Provide ease of implementation to developers using existing XML related tools.

---

\(^1\) An IP sharing device is a small network address translation (NAT) appliance used to in homes and SOHOs in conjunction with high-speed Internet access for allowing up to 254 IP devices using private IP addresses with a single public IP address.
• Provide the operations of Get and Edit full or partial configuration.
• Support actions, such as exec commands

As depicted in Table 1, the Netconf protocol can be conceptually partitioned into four layers: Content, Operation, RPC, and Transport. The ‘Content’ layer presents the configuration data such as IP address, administrator ID/Password, etc., which basically depends on the device vendors. This layer is currently outside the scope of Netconf, but it is expected that a standard data definition language and standard content will soon be formulated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>Configuration data (e.g., IP address, Admin ID/password, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>&lt;get-config&gt;, &lt;edit-config&gt;, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPC</td>
<td>&lt;rpc&gt;, &lt;rpc-reply&gt;, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>SSH, BEEP, SOAP over HTTP, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Netconf Protocol Layers

The ‘Operations’ layer includes base and additional management operations. The base operations of the Netconf protocol are defined as follows:
• <get-config>: retrieves all or parts of the specified configuration.
• <edit-config>: requires three types of attributes: merge, replace, and delete. This operation message includes one of these attributes, which is inserted into the elements of the specified configuration. It merges or replaces either all or parts of the specified configuration to the specified target configuration. It also deletes all or part of the specified configuration. The transaction of the modification operations is provided optionally. The values for transaction processing are ‘stop-on-error’ (default) and ‘ignore-error’.
• <copy-config>: creates or replaces an entire configuration data with the contents of another complete configuration data.
• <delete-config>: deletes the configuration data.
• <kill-session>: terminates all channels such as operation, management, and notification within a single Netconf session.
• <lock>: allows the manager to lock the configuration of a device.
• <unlock>: releases a configuration lock, previously obtained with the <lock> operation.
• <get-all>: retrieves the configuration and device state information.

A set of additional functionalities that supplements the base operations is called ‘capability’ in Netconf. Capabilities augment the base operations of the device, describing both additional operations and the content allowed inside operations. The capability is identified by a URI. The Netconf capability permits the agent to adjust its behavior to take advantage of features exposed by the device. To support
capabilities, more operations are defined. For example, operations for the Netconf capability are shown as follows:

- `<commit>`: commits the candidate configuration as the device’s new configuration
- `<discard-changes>`: reverts the candidate configuration to the current committed configuration, if the manager decides that the candidate configuration should not be committed.
- `<validate>`: validates the contents of the specified configuration.

The ‘RPC’ layer presents the RPC-based communication model. The ‘RPC’ layer is different from the XML-RPC mechanism, which processes XML messages in a traditional order of the RPC mechanism such as calling, binding, executing, etc. and transfers XML messages over the HTTP-POST request. However, this layer merely uses RPC elements to define XML messages. Netconf peers use `<rpc>` and `<rpc-reply>` elements to provide a transport-independent framing mechanism for encoding RPCs. The elements in this layer are as follows:

- `<rpc>`: expresses request messages of operations in the Netconf protocol.
- `<rpc-reply>`: presents the response message to a `<rpc>` request. The ‘<ok>’ element is sent in `<rpc-reply>` messages if no error occurs during the processing of an `<rpc>` request. Otherwise, the `<rpc-error>` element is delivered in `<rpc-reply>` messages.

The ‘Transport’ layer supports any transport protocol that is connection-oriented, requiring a long-lived and persistent connection between the manager and agent. This allows the manager to make changes to the state of the connection that will persist for the lifetime of the connection. In addition, resources requested from the agent for a particular connection must be automatically released when the connection closes, making failure recovery simpler and more robust.

A new I-D [4] of Netconf configuration protocol was issued on February 14, 2004. The new version is different from the previous version in the following respects. First, the RPC operations such as `<rpc-abort>`, `<rpc-abort-reply>` and `<rpc-progress>` are removed. Second, the division of three channels such as management, operation, and notification are removed. Also, the notification operation is removed in the new I-D. The locking has become a mandatory Netconf operation. Finally, it may be useful to add an additional parameter to RPC requests (`<rpc>`) and replies (`rpc-reply`) to represent a distributed unit of the work identifier.

### 2.2. Netconf Transport Protocol

The Netconf protocol is currently considering three separate protocol bindings for transport: Secure Shell (SSH) [5], SOAP over HTTP [6] and Block Extensible Exchange Protocol (BEEP) [7]. The SSH transport binding uses SSH security methods for key exchange and authentication. However, the other approaches merely recommend the use of existing security infrastructures within transport such as TLS, HTTPS, and RADIUS. Recently, Netconf has selected SSH [5] as a mandatory transport protocol because
SSH is a widely-deployed protocol. SSH is required by operators who are accustomed to the existing network device configuration environment (i.e., a command-line shell environment).

SOAP over HTTP [6] is a natural application protocol for Netconf, essentially because it supports its own RPC interface. However, care must be taken in some cases, as HTTP is inherently synchronous and client-driven. Netconf finds many benefits in this environment: the re-use of existing standards, the ease of software development, and integration with deployed systems. SOAP tools and source codes provide an easy implementation environment that generates the skeleton and the stub for communicating. The manager initiates an HTTP connection to an agent and drives the Netconf session via a sequence of SOAP messages over HTTP requests. The Netconf session state contains the following: authentication information, capability information, locks, pending operations, and operation sequence numbers. The SOAP over HTTP binding relies on an underlying secure transport for integrity and privacy. Such transports are expected to include TLS and IPSec. HTTP and SOAP level authentication can be integrated with RADIUS to support remote authentication databases.

BEEP [7] is a peer-to-peer protocol, so either the manager or the agent can initiate the connection. Because of the nature of this connection initiation, BEEP is superior to SOAP over HTTP in processing the asynchronous notification. BEEP also supports multiple channels within a single session. There are freely available software toolkits which support BEEP. However, the downside is that BEEP is not widely deployed at this time, in either network devices or end user operating systems.

3. XML-based Configuration Management System

In this section, we describe the design and implementation of an XML-based configuration management system (XCMS) for IP sharing device in accordance with Netconf. Also, we propose improvements in the Netconf protocol based on our experience.

3.1. Management Information Model

Information modeling defines the management information of the managed system. We define the configuration information of a network device using the XML Schema, which supports the definition of management information by adding new data types to a pool of data types.

Figure 1 shows an example of simple management information modeling from a Web browser display for an IPv4-based IP sharing device. Figure 1 (b) shows an XML document matching the Web interface in Figure 1 (a) based on the XML Schema. The IP sharing device column from the Web interface represents basic configuration information, such as LAN, WAN, and DNS settings. The fields in this column correspond to each XML element.

The configuration information of a network device has a relationship with other information of the network device. We use attributes in an XML document to express relationship and identification. For example, the ‘ref’ attribute in Figure 1 (b) represents dependencies among elements. The value of the ‘ref’
attribute is an XPath expression. If the automatic setting for WAN is disabled, the IP sharing device requires information for WAN, such as the WAN IP address, network mask, and gateway IP address. By contrast, if the automatic setting for WAN is enabled, the information above is ignored. To present this dependency, the sub element ‘auto’ of ‘wan’ has the attribute ‘ref’ whose value is ‘//wanset’. Another use of the attributes is to identify the same name of the objects. The IP sharing device contains IP information of a primary DNS server and the other two DNS servers. To distinguish the ‘ip’ tags from the ‘dns’ elements, the attribute ‘name’ is used as an identifier.

(a) Web Interface for Configuration Information of IP Sharing Device

(b) XML Document for Configuration Information of IP Sharing Device

Figure 1. Information Model Example
3.2. Management Protocol

We have selected the SOAP over HTTP as the transport protocol. With the absence of an RPC interface in BEEP and SSH, a parsing process on operation messages is required to retrieve the operation name and parameters. However, SOAP provides an RPC interface, and its messages are easy to parse. SOAP tools automatically generate important codes for the skeleton and the stub to communicate. These tools also generate WSDL [8] definitions, which contain useful information for RPC operations, such as operation names and parameters. When a new operation is added to the agent’s operation list, the new version of WSDL definition is generated. The updated WSDL definition is the specification to notify the manager with newly added operations.

We have defined management operations using SOAP RPC operations based on Netconf operations as follows. We added several operations, such as reboot, shutdown, and log to improve management functionality.

- `<get-config>`: retrieves configuration information.
- `<edit-config-{operation}>`: ‘merge’, ‘replace’ and ‘delete’ are inserted into the '{operation}' field. ‘merge’ is to add, ‘replace is to replace, and ‘delete’ is to delete.
- `<kill-session>`: when terminates a specific session, this operation disconnects all channels within the session.
- `<lock>`: locks the configuration.
- `<unlock>`: releases a previous locked configuration data.
- `<get-all>`: retrieves configuration and device state information.
- `<reboot>`<shutdown>: agent reboots or shutdowns itself to apply the modified configuration information.
- `<log>`: logs notification and transaction results of the management operations.

Another important issue with the management protocol is an addressing method to access the specific part of management information. An addressing mechanism in an XML document is provided using the XPath expression, which provides explicit expressions to identify XML nodes. A role of XPath is to indicate a specific location path of configuration information with an abbreviated or unabbreviated syntax. The location path in configuration information within the agent can be presented using only the abbreviated syntax of XPath.

The XML parser in the agent should be lightweight to support XPath because the agent is an embedded system. It requires removing an unnecessary expression in XPath. It takes much effort to optimize an XML parser suitable for the configuration management of a network device. To minimize the size of the agent’s XML parser, the agent supports the XPath expression only using the following two factors: operators and node-set.

- Operators are used for selecting the nodes within a specific range.
Node-set is an unordered collection of nodes without duplicates.

We have defined management services using WSDL. Table 2 (a) describes the WSDL definition of the <edit-config-replace> RPC operation. Table 2 (b) describes a request message binding SOAP to call edit-config-replaceRequest operation in the agent. Table 2 (c) is the response message to the request (b). This operation includes the following parameters: ‘target’, ‘xpath’, ‘config’, ‘transaction’ and ‘sessionID’. The parameter ‘target’ is a target configuration which is one of the states, such as candidate, running, and startup. The parameter ‘xpath’ is an XPath expression to access the specific part of the configuration for replacement. The parameter ‘config’ is the information to replace.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a) WSDL Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request Message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PortType</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. WSDL Definition of <edit-config-replace> Operation
3.3. Architecture

Figure 2 illustrates the architecture of XCMS, consisting of a manager and agent. Both the manager and agent contain the HTTP server/client modules for initiating a bi-directional connection. Each is composed of three modules: Management Operations, SOAP over HTTP, and a Repository.

The Management Operations module consists of several components and serves management needs. The RPC Operations component includes the SOAP RPC operations with their own RPC interfaces. The Local Operations component is a set of internal operations that supplements the RPC operations. The XML parser component is a set of packages with DOM APIs that allow us to read and write an XML document. The manager has the additional Web Interfaces component to provide a Web-based user interface. The XSLT sub-component transforms the XML format into the HTML format to display on the Web.

The SOAP over HTTP module contains the SOAP engine including the HTTP server/client, which is a set of packages that provides SOAP binding APIs. The Repository module has two storage types: the XMLDB and a file. XMLDB is a necessary component in the manager, which contains the topology and summary information of the managed devices. A variety of files exist in XCMS. The XSL files in the manager are used in XSLT processing to display XML data on the Web. The notifications and transaction results of RPC operations are deposited into log files. In the manager, the configuration files are uploaded to the agent or downloaded from the agent. In the agent, the configuration files are divided into three steps: candidate, running, and startup. WSDL files are used for describing additional RPC operations, as well as base RPC operations.
3.4. Implementation

We have implemented an XCMS based on the proposed architecture. The manager uses various XML technologies to provide Web-based user interfaces, to communicate between manager and agent, and to process management information. We used the Apache Project Group [9] that provides APIs that were implemented with JAVA. XCMS uses the following APIs: Xerces as an XML parser, Xalan as an XPath handler and an XSLT processor, Xindice as XMLDB and AXIS as SOAP. XMLDB supports XML technologies, such as XPath, XQuery, and XUpdate to directly handle XML documents via the DOM parser.

The XCMS agent is applied to the IP sharing device. The agent uses gSOAP [10] implemented with C/C++ languages to exchange SOAP messages. The gSOAP generates a stub, a skeleton and a WSDL using the header file which declares RPC operations. We selected the libxml which is more lightweight than most XML parsers in the agent because we considered the low computing resources of the IP sharing device.

3.5. Experience and Suggestion

The management information from diverse network devices developed by different vendors is difficult to define in a standard format. Although it realizes the importance of the ‘Content’ layer, the Netconf WG is not concerned with management information yet. Our management information modeling places guidelines for the presentation of management information into an XML format.

Also, Netconf does not yet provide an addressing mechanism for the selection of a specific part of configuration information. To identify the specific part of a configuration, the ‘edit-config’ operation inserts one of the operation types (merge, replace, and delete) into the content of the specific configuration. Because the operation type exists in the content of the configuration, parsing of configuration data is required to determine the operation type. Therefore, we propose the XPath expression as an addressing method to present the accurate position of the configuration information. By using XPath, the operation ‘edit-config’ can be separated into ‘edit-config-merge’, ‘edit-config-replace’, and ‘edit-config-delete’. A detailed proposal about the use of a subset of the XPath was provided in the Netconf mailing list archives [3]. We implemented our system based on this proposal. The approach, which uses XPath for naming the target of a management operation, provides a more robust model for expressing management information. This approach results in a more efficient and reliable protocol in which transactions are expressed with precision and completeness and can be accurately interpreted by examination without referring to the exact configuration state of the managed device [3].

In the Netconf over SOAP Internet Draft (I-D) [6], the tags of the ‘RPC’ layer are acknowledged as SOAP RPC operations. The operation tags of the ‘Operation’ layer are passed as parameters of the SOAP message. This still requires parsing tags to retrieve the operation names and parameters as in BEEP and SSH. To reduce parsing overhead, it is necessary to map the Netconf protocol message to the SOAP
The SOAP RPC Operation layer is a combination of the Netconf’s Operation layer and the RPC layer. The names of Operations in Netconf become SOAP RPC Operations. The necessary information is passed as parameters.

**Content**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Netconf Protocol Level</th>
<th>SOAP RPC Message Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Parameters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ex) &lt;get-config&gt;, &lt;edit-config&gt;, &lt;lock&gt;, &lt;kill-session&gt;, Etc.</td>
<td>ex) &lt;get-config-replace message-id=&quot;100&quot;&gt;, &lt;edit-config-replace&gt;, &lt;edit-config-merge&gt;, &lt;edit-config-delete&gt;, &lt;lock&gt;, &lt;kill-session&gt;, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RPC</strong></td>
<td><strong>SOAP/HTTP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ex) &lt;rpc&gt;, &lt;rpc-reply&gt;</td>
<td>Example: &lt;edit-config-replace message-id=&quot;100&quot;&gt;, &lt;target&gt; &lt;running/&gt; &lt;target&gt; &lt;config&gt; &lt;IPSD&gt; basic &gt; Operation &lt;ip&gt;1.2.3.4&lt;/ip&gt; &lt;networkmask&gt;255.0.0.0&lt;/networkmask&gt; &lt;lan&gt; &lt;ip&gt;1.2.3.4&lt;/ip&gt; &lt;networkmask&gt;255.0.0.0&lt;/networkmask&gt; &lt;/lan&gt; &lt;/config&gt; &lt;transaction&gt;rollback&lt;/transaction&gt; &lt;sessionID&gt;234&lt;/sessionID&gt; &lt;/sessionID&gt; &lt;edit-config-replace&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transport:</strong> BEEP, SSH, SOAP/HTTP</td>
<td><strong>Content</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3. Mapping of Netconf Protocol Message to SOAP Message**

While SOAP can be bound to different underlying protocols such as HTTP, SMTP, or BEEP, most existing SOAP implementations use HTTP. In the Netconf over SOAP I-D [6], it is not possible to send asynchronous notifications from the agent to the manager because the agent includes only the HTTP server. As a solution, the manager can periodically poll requests for notification. This approach increases unnecessary traffic and the manager’s processing overhead due to periodic requests. Periodic request traffic is about 250 bytes and response traffic excluding notification is about 210 bytes. If the monitoring period is short and no notification data exists, the traffic overhead increases. For notification delivery, the agent needs to equip the HTTP client as well as the HTTP server. The agent can send a notification message to the manager through the HTTP client. Currently, a message exchange pattern (MEP) of SOAP 1.2 Part 2 and PAOS (reversed HTTP binding for SOAP) from the liberty alliance project are progressing research on an asynchronous notification.

A session ID in every request message is the necessary information for processing operations, such as the ‘kill-session’ and ‘lock’. However, the Netconf protocol does not specify a standard mechanism to define a session ID in a message. In the case of the Netconf over SOAP I-D, if the manager opens a new session with an agent, the manager sends a message with an empty session identifier. The agent must determine whether or not a session identifier is empty in the messages. Because there is no universal method to represent a session ID, the agent has difficulty in obtaining the session ID from the message.
The session ID must exist within the Netconf protocol message.

It is possible to define complex management information such as a relationship definition by using the XML Schema. In an SNMP MIB, the relationship is not expressed at all. Based on an efficient information model, our XCMS supports various operations, such as activation, rollback, and lock that are typically not supported in SNMP-based network management. Also, the naming mechanism of XPath is sufficient to indicate a concise sub-tree of the management information.

4. Concluding Remarks

XML has been viewed by many as a revolutionary approach to solve existing problems in network and systems management. One of the critical problems faced by operators is the configuration management of multitudes of IP network devices. The effort by the Netconf WG attempts to apply the XML technology to solve the problem of configuration management. This paper has given a brief overview of the Netconf protocol that is currently being standardized. We have designed and implemented an XCMS based on the Netconf protocol with some of our proposed extensions. This paper not only demonstrated the feasibility of developing an XML-based configuration management system for network devices, but also has demonstrated the use of XML technology for the configuration management of distributed systems [10].

We plan to optimize our XML-based agent so that we can embed it into any type of network devices with limited computing resources. We also plan to demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach through extensive performance and scalability tests.
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